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ABSTRACT: This study examines the sorption of eight explosives [2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT); pentaerythritol tetranitrate (PETN); hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-s-triazine (RDX); diacetone diperoxide (DADP); triacetone triperoxide (TATP); ethylene glycol (EGDN), nitroglycerin (NG) and 2,4-dinitrotoluene (DNT)] to human hair. The study uses only cut hair, which is exposed to explosive vapor. The vapor transfer studies reported herein indicated that hair did not reach saturation even after 2.5 years of exposure to TNT. While previous studies showed black hair sorbed more explosive than blond or brown, this study reports that red hair sorption is similar to black, while grey hairs, exposed along with black hair from the same individual, sorbed significantly less explosive than the same individual’s black hairs. In a study using only black hair, a slight racial bias was observed with sorption greater for Mongoloid hair as compared to Caucasian or Negroid. Only for Mongoloid hairs were enough samples studied to examine for a gender bias, but one was not observed. There was much variability in results in all categories (hair color, race, and gender) that trends were established only in general terms. Hair at different ages was tested for a few individuals. Detailed studies focused on the sorption of TATP and TNT since these appear to be sorbed most differently—TATP mainly on the hair surface and TNT both on the surface and in the cortex. The uptake of high vapor pressure explosives (e.g. TATP) and moderate vapor pressure explosives (e.g. TNT) by hair was rapid and could be detected within about one hour of exposure. Both explosives were readily sorbed by pure melanin.
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Introduction

Since the 1950’s researchers have used hair of laboratory animals and humans to provide evidence of chemical exposure,\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) but illicit drug detection has generated the most interest and controversy. The Society for Forensic Toxicology has accepted drug analysis of hair as a confirmatory technique, and the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration reviewed various factors pertinent to use of this technique as legal evidence.\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\) Hair testing has the advantages of being non-invasive, having the ability to provide a historical record of exposure, being resistant to countermeasures, and frequently offering a wider window of detection than analysis of body fluids.\(^6\) Despite the advantages, there remains much controversy in using hair as evidence of drug use. Drugs may be incorporated into hair by passive exposure of hair to drugs rather than by illicit use,\(^6\) and there is also evidence of color\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\) (possibly racial)\(^10\) bias.

Most studies on the sorption of chemicals by hair have focused on the uptake of ingested drugs. Atmospheric sorption of the drugs was a source of error in those studies. In examining the sorption of explosives by hair, only atmospheric uptake was considered. A number of studies have attempted to elucidate the sorption processes associated with the binding of drugs to hair. There is convincing evidence that drug-binding sites are associated with melanin granules, but the importance of other factors, such as hair lipid content, is a matter of some debate. One hypothesis is that hydrophobic interactions involving lipids bind non-polar organic substrates to hair play an important role.\(^11\)\(^-\)\(^15\) The goal of the present study was to understand the factors affecting the binding of explosives to hair.
We had previously shown that human hair sorbs five explosives: TNT, PETN, RDX, EGDN, and TATP.\textsuperscript{16,17} We now add three more to the list, nitroglycerin (NG), diacetone diperoxide (DADP) and 2,4-dinitrotoluene (DNT). In these studies, sorption of explosive by hair was through vapor transfer only and was directly related to the vapor pressure of the explosive (Table 1). Figure 1 relates logarithms of explosive sorption to that of vapor pressure (i.e. EGDN > TATP >>> TNT >> PETN > RDX) at two different time intervals (48 and 144 hours) Thus, for explosives with extremely low vapor pressure, such as PETN and RDX, it was necessary to hold the hair over the explosive for days so that there would be sufficient explosive sorbed to the hair for detection. For TNT, sufficient explosive was sorbed within an hour so that detection by GC-ECD was possible. However, because the standard deviation in results was large, longer times, typically 48, 144 or 920 hours were routinely used.

Like uptake of explosives on hair, persistence of the explosive on hair also depended on the vapor pressure of the explosive. Explosives with high vapor pressure, e.g. EGDN, TATP, were lost after standing a few days outside the confines of the sealed containers. Explosives with low vapor pressure could persist on the hair for days and remain even after subject to repeated washings with 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate.\textsuperscript{16} It appears that hair might become a useful indicator of explosive exposure/handling even in the absence of particulate deposition.

In addition to extending the number of compounds, this study was aimed at elucidating mechanisms by which explosives sorb to hair. Mechanistic studies were performed on two explosives—a highly volatile one, TATP, and a moderately volatile
one, TNT. Work with poorly volatile explosives, such as RDX or PETN, would be impractical. Evidence considered in evaluating sorption sites included

- limitations on explosive sorption;
- differences in sorption as a function of hair color;
- differences in sorption as a function of race, gender, age;
- differential sorption on hair versus on melanin, cotton or glass fibers;
- differential removal of the explosive from hair;
- microscopic examination.

**Experimental Section**

**Materials**

Head hair was obtained by donation or purchased from Demeo Brothers Co., NY. The hair was rinsed repeatedly with 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), dried, and stored in Ziploc® bags. TATP, DADP, HMTD, and EGDN were prepared in this laboratory. Nitroglycerine was purchased as 50% acetone solution from Copperhead Chemicals. TNT, DNT, PETN, and RDX were acquired from military sources. Melanin used in experiments was either purchased from Sigma Aldrich or extracted from brown hair.\(^{18}\)

**Protocol**

Amber, glass, wide-mouth, screw-cap jars (10.5 cm diameter x 8.5 cm high) were washed with soap and water, rinsed with acetone, oven dried, and cooled in a desiccator. Explosive (~0.5g) was placed in the bottom of the jar. Hair was cut into 1.5-2.5 cm lengths and about 100 strands (~0.3 g) were weighed into an aluminum foil basket. Three of these baskets were hung vertically so that they stacked in the
wide-mouth jar one above the other. Care was taken that there was no physical contact between the explosive and hair. The lid of the jar was screwed in place, and the jar was allowed to sit undisturbed until the end of test interval. Generally, black hair was in the top basket; brown hair in the middle; and blond hair in the bottom, but a separate study showed the positioning was not significant.

Quantification of explosives sorption

Six explosives were pure powders: TNT; PETN; RDX; 2, 4-DNT; DADP and TATP; two (EGDN and NG) were liquids. At the completion of the exposure time, each hair specimen was divided into three portions of about 0.05 g (the melanin was ~ 0.01 g) and weighed into an amber, 16 mL, screw-cap bottle. Acetonitrile (5 mL) was added, and the samples were sonicated for 20 minutes before they were placed on a shaker (speed 86 shakes/minute). After shaking overnight, the acetonitrile was removed from the hair using a Pasteur pipette, and about 1 mL of this solution was put in a 2 mL, septum screw-cap vial. All acetonitrile extracts were analyzed on a Hewlett Packard (HP) 5890 gas chromatograph (GC) or an Agilent 6890N GC using an electron capture detector (ECD) or micro-ECD, respectively. The column used was a J&W Scientific DB-5MS column [8 m x 0.53mm (megabore), film 1.5 um] (HP) or a HP-5 (20 m x 0.25mm, capillary column, Agilent) or DB-5MS (25m x 0.25mm, capillary column, Agilent) except EGDN. A summary of GC conditions for various explosives is given in Table 2. EGDN was analyzed and quantified at 214nm wavelength with HP 1100 series Liquid Chromatography with photo diode array detector. Standard calibration curves were constructed (using 5 points between 0.01 and 1.0 ppm in acetonitrile) based on peak height and peak area. The squared
correlation coefficients for the standard curves were better than 0.99. Concentrations of explosives in hair extracts were determined by extrapolations of peak area and height responses to concentrations from the standard curves. Given the concentrations and volumes of the extracts, the mass absorbed was obtained. Both the peak height and area data gave comparable results.

Recovery Experiment

Approximately 0.05 gm of Asian female black hair was weighed and carefully transferred to the bottom of the 16 ml amber colored vials. 50 µL of known concentrations of TNT or TATP (5 ppm TNT, 1000 ppm TATP) in acetonitrile was spiked onto the hair by taking care that drops fell onto the hair. The vials were kept in the hood at room temperature. After evaporation of solvent, the first set was sonicated three times in the case of TNT and two times in the case of TATP each with 5 mL acetonitrile for 1 minute. The extracts (15 mL of TNT and 10 mL TATP) were transferred into another vial. TNT extracts were concentrated by evaporating the solvent under N₂ gas purging to 5 mL, while TATP extracts were directly analyzed. After the initial washings, the hair was dried and extracted overnight as follows. Acetonitrile (5 mL) was added, and the samples were sonicated for 20 minutes before they were placed on a shaker (speed 86 shakes/minute). After shaking overnight, the acetonitrile was removed from the hair using a Pasteur pipette, and the solution (~1 mL) was put in a 2 mL, septum screw-cap vial. Blanks and controls were also prepared and analyzed.
Microscope

Hair strands, both clean and explosive-exposed, were pasted on microscope slides (75 x 50 x 1 mm) and observed under Nikon polarizing optical microscope (ECILIPSE E400 POL), which was attached to the digital camera and a computer to store images. Images were taken at 200X (10 eye piece & 20 object) magnification.

Results and Discussion

Limitations on explosive sorption

Uptake of many chemicals by soil or other matrices is limited due to a limited number of binding sites. Figures 2, 3, and 4 illustrate the amount of explosives sorbed when hair, black, brown and blond, respectively, is exposed to explosive vapor for lengths of time up to seven to nine months. The plots appear to suggest that after a period of time the hair became saturated with a particular explosive. For example, For TNT, after 2.5 months, the hair appeared to be reaching saturation (Figs. 2, 3, 4). Therefore, one set of hair was exposed to TNT for two and a half years (Fig. 5). Figure 5 indicates saturation of the hair with TNT was not achieved after 2.5 months or even 2.5 year. This suggests that the leveling off of explosive sorption observed in Figures 2 through 4 is a result of two different rates of explosive sorption—an initial very rapid one and a slower long-term one.

Differences in sorption as a function of hair color and age

The data showed that, in general, black hair sorbed all explosives better than brown or blond (Figures 2-5). A few samples of red hair were also tested; some sorbed as well as black hair but one, much more poorly (Fig. 6). To examine the effects of hair color, some oriental black hair was bleached. We found that the bleached black hair
sorbed very little explosives (Table 3). Furthermore, if black hair and white hair from the same person’s beard were exposed to explosive, the black hair preferentially sorbed the explosive (Table 3).

Sorption of explosive by hair was not simply related to color; within each color category there were wide variations. Using black hair from 25 different sources and five different explosives, it was found that the uptake of explosives was a highly individual characteristic. For some hair sources, especially purchased hair, which had been treated by the vendor with naphthalene to prevent bacterial growth, sorption of explosive vapor was as poor as brown or blond hair. What was clear was that a hair source that sorbed one explosive well tended to sorb all explosives well. Furthermore, a number of people donated hair samples repeatedly and it was determined that the tendency to sorb explosives remained constant over the time of this study.

Because the ability to sorb hair appeared to be an individual characteristic, to examine the affects of aging hair, it was deemed necessary to compare hair from the same individual. In three trials using the childhood, brown hair of one female versus her hair as an adult, essentially no difference in amount sorbed was observed. For 48-hour exposure to TATP sorption was $1467 \pm 18 \text{ ug/g}$ child hair versus $1071 \pm 7 \text{ ug/g}$ adult hair; for 6-day (144h) exposure to TNT results were $36 \pm 4 \text{ ug/g}$ child hair versus $32 \pm 8 \text{ ug/g}$ adult hair.

Differences in sorption as a function of race and gender

To test for potential racial bias, the black hair was segregated into three grouping —Mongoloid, Caucasian, and Negroid (Fig. 8). Only in the Mongoloid grouping was there female hair. In that grouping there appeared no gender bias. Similarly, no strong racial bias was obvious. Mongoloid hair may have had a slightly higher tendency to sorb
explosive than the other two hair types, but the individual to individual variation was large.

*Differential sorption of hair versus other media*

The observation that black, and possibly red, hair sorbed more explosive than brown and blond hair suggested that melanin might play a role in the sorption of explosives. Melanin, exposed to the vapor of two very different explosives TATP and TNT, sorbed significantly more of each explosive than the best sorbing black hair (Fig. 9). Although these differences probably reflect large differences in available surface areas, the low melanin content of hair (2%), and other factors, it is clear that there is substantial melanin/explosive interaction.

In another set of experiments, wool, silk, and other fibrous matrices, along with samples of black hair, were exposed to explosive vapor. Wool and silk were chosen because, like hair, they are protein, but unlike hair they possess no melanin. Most of these fibrous materials sorbed explosive more poorly than hair (Fig. 10). However, cotton balls and glass fibers, sorbed a large amount of TATP but not nearly as much as the black hair. The success of these fibers in sorbing TATP suggests that at least part of the uptake is related to sublimation of the explosive vapor onto the high surface area fiber. We found that over a two-year period, sorption of TNT to black hair continued to increase (Fig. 5). Similarly, TATP sorption increased with time (Fig. 3); this was not true for TATP on cotton balls. After about three hours, no further sorption of TATP on cotton balls was observed.

A Nikon optical microscope at 200X magnification was used to examine hair exposed to the vapors of TATP, of DADP and of TNT. The hair shafts shown in Figure
11 are between 70 and 90 um. Within 48 hours, the explosives with high vapor pressure, TATP and DADP, could be observed as crystals on the hair strand; in that amount of time, analysis showed that a gram of hair picked up about 1100 ug of TATP. Since sorption of TNT was dramatically slower, hair was examined after 6 days (144 hours) and after 4 months (2880 hr) of exposure to TNT. Typically, these times would result in about 30 ug and 200 ug, respectively, of TNT being sorbed onto a gram of hair. Under microscopic examination of the TNT exposed hair showed no easily recognizable individual crystals. These observations suggest that high sorption values for TATP may be a result of rapid crystal growth, and they suggest a difference in the way hair picks up TATP versus TNT.

Differential removal of explosive from hair

A set of experiments was designed in an attempt to determine whether explosive uptake by hair was simply a matter of providing a surface for nucleation, in which case most of the explosive would be on the hair surface, or whether binding to a site on the hair was involved. In all the experiments described above, the explosive sorbed to hair was removed by agitating the hair overnight in acetonitrile. Using hair samples prepared by spiking hair with explosive-containing solution, the efficiency of overnight extraction was compared with a procedure of initial rinsing followed by extraction. Figure 12 shows that for TATP most of the explosive was removed in the initial wash, whereas with TNT, only about half of explosive was removed without overnight extraction.

Conclusions
The initial rate at which the explosive is sorbed to hair is relative to the vapor pressure of the explosive (Fig. 1).\textsuperscript{16} While initial uptake was rapid, much more gradual uptake continued. For TNT, uptake continued even after two years. In a similar experiment performed over a four-month period, TATP sorption continued to increase with time (Figs. 2-4). Microscopy suggested that hair provided nucleation sites for TATP crystal growth on the surface of the hair. However, this was not the case when the matrix was cotton balls rather than hair; after about three hours sorption leveled off.

While there is a general trend for dark hair to sorb explosive more readily than light hair, sorption is a highly individual characteristic, i.e. a person whose hair sorbs TATP well will also sorb TNT well. Once sorbed, the ease with which explosive is removed from the hair depends on the type of explosive. TNT is not lost simply by standing in the open and mild extraction, it requires vigorous washing; while TATP, an explosive with high vapor pressure, is readily lost upon standing and mild extraction. These observations as well as experiments characterized in Figure 12 suggest that TNT and TATP are sorbed by hair by different mechanisms.

The preferential sorption of explosives by black hair over blond hair and brown hair, the preferential sorption of unbleached hair over bleached, and the preferential sorption of black hair over white hair from the same person suggest melanin is important in explosive sorption (Fig. 6, Table 2). This appears to be supported by studies showing the strong sorption of explosives to melanin (Fig. 9). Figures 11 and 12 clearly show, that, at least for TATP, surface (cuticle) nucleation is very important. Furthermore, wide variation in ability to sorb explosives was observed among black hair from different
individuals (Figs. 7 and 8). Therefore, it appears that sorption of explosive to hair is not strictly a matter of binding to melanin.
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TABLE 1. *Vapor pressure of explosives (ref 8).*

TABLE 2. *Chromatographic conditions for analysis.*

TABLE 3. *Sorption by black vs. white or bleached hair.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Vapor Pressure @ 25°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DADP</td>
<td>1.3E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGDN</td>
<td>7.0E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATP</td>
<td>5.2E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNT</td>
<td>2.0E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>2.3E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT</td>
<td>5E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETN</td>
<td>3E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDX</td>
<td>2E-09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1**
## Chromatographic Analysis Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Split/</th>
<th>Injector Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Detector Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Initial Oven Hold Time (sec)</th>
<th>Ramp Rate (deg/min)</th>
<th>Final Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Final Hold Time (min)</th>
<th>Retention Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PETN hair</td>
<td>Split 5:1</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDX hair</td>
<td>Split 12.5:1</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10 to 200, 20</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATP hair</td>
<td>Split 125:1</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DADP hair</td>
<td>Split 125:1</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT hair</td>
<td>Split 10:1</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNT hair</td>
<td>Split 25:1</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT hair *</td>
<td>Splitless</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Split 10:1</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG*</td>
<td>Splitless</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10 to 200,20</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EGDN** 40% metanol in water, Flow rate 0.5 mL/min, Hypersil BDS column, 5 uL injection.

For GC, Carrier Gas is He except for NG* which is H₂ and make up gas is N₂

* Analyses performed with HP 5890 GC with DB-5MS, all other used Agilent 6890N with HP-5 and DB-5MS column

---

**TABLE 2**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposed to</th>
<th>TATP 48 h</th>
<th></th>
<th>TNT 940 h</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TATP (µg/g hair)</td>
<td>std dev</td>
<td>TNT (µg/g hair)</td>
<td>std dev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type Hair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unbleached black</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ bleached black</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NaOH, }\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ bleached black</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>black hair</td>
<td>645</td>
<td></td>
<td>317</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white hair</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3**
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